

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

j j			j j		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/654,652	09/05/2000	Lie-Fen Shyur	4910-8 7362		
į 75	590 07/16/2002		· ·		
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane			EXAMINER		
551 Fifth Avenue Ste 1210			PAK, YONG D		
			1652		
;			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002 13		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	——————————————————————————————————————	Applicati n N		Applicant(s)				
	•	09/654,652		SHYUR ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Yong Pak		1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Peri d for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2002.							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
•	☑ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r oloction requir	ement					
· ·	on Papers	r election requi	ement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ appro	ved b) disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		v (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/654,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on April 29, 2002, amending claim 1, has been entered. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 8-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10.

The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous Office action are hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 29, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claims 1-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

Applicants argue that the truncated glucanases are distinguishable from the wildtype enzymes found in nature. The examiner disagrees. Claims 1-7 read on a product of nature because these truncated glucanases can exist in nature.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants argue that the term "substantially identical" is related to enzymatic function and its use does not render the claims indefinite. The examiner disagrees. While it is true that the resulting truncated polypeptide have glucanase activity, it is unclear which specific amino acids are encompassed as being substantially identical to a portion of the wild-type glucanase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-3 and 6-7 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li et al.

Applicants' argument of the rejection is based on the glucanase from Orpinomyces PC-2 of Li et al. The rejection was drawn to a truncated glucanase from Fibrobacter succinogenes found in Column 33-34 the Li et al. Patent, as indicated in the previous office action. Therefore, the glucanase of Li et al. anticipates claims 1-3 and 6-7. Ĭ.,.

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 703-308-9363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-3173.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Yong Pak Patent Examiner January 23, 2002

PONNATHAPUACHUT MURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CSATER 1990